MARINE PROTECTED AREAS
PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY
DECEMBER 2022

ENVIRONICS RESEARCH
TABLE OF CONTENTS

RESEARCH OBJECTIVE ........................................................................................................ 3
RESEARCH METHODS ........................................................................................................ 4
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ...................................................................................................... 5
DETAILED FINDINGS

Perceptions of ocean health ............................................................................................... 8
Ocean protection ................................................................................................................ 13
Marine Protected Areas ................................................................................................... 20
30% ocean protection by 2030 ........................................................................................ 30
Respondent characteristics ............................................................................................. 34
What are the Canadian public’s views on oceans and the need for ocean protection, and how has this changed over time?

Canadian public opinion about ocean health and protection was previously measured in 2012, 2016 and 2019. As countries gather in Montreal in December 2022 for the UN Biodiversity Conference (COP15) and in Vancouver in February 2023 for IMPAC5 (5th International Marine Protected Areas Congress), this survey was conducted to provide an updated understanding of public opinion. The research was also designed to identify frames that motivate Canadians to care for, and act on, matters of ocean health and to support marine conservation efforts.
RESEARCH METHODS

This report is based on a survey conducted with a sample of 1,687 Canadians (18 or older), conducted from November 17 to 30, 2022. A mixed-mode approach was used, with 1,531 interviews conducted with an online panel in the provinces, and 176 interviews conducted by telephone in the Territories.

The sample was stratified by region as outlined in the table below, to ensure adequate subsamples for analysis of smaller regions. Quotas were set by region, age and gender as well as by Indigenous identity (in the Territories). At the analysis stage, the data was weighted to ensure the final sample reflects the actual distribution of the Canadian population per 2021 Census data.

Because the online survey in the provinces uses a non-probability sample, no margin of sampling error can be calculated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Terr</th>
<th>BC</th>
<th>AB</th>
<th>SK/MB</th>
<th>ON</th>
<th>QC</th>
<th>ATL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample size (unweighted/actual)</td>
<td>1,687</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size (weighted)</td>
<td>1,687</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population (%) per Census</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
KEY INSIGHTS

1. There is no common understanding of the current state of Canada’s oceans, but there is a consensus that things are getting worse; both views remain steady since years prior. Essentially unchanged from 2019, public views are split between those who rate the health of Canada’s oceans as excellent/good (52%) versus only fair/poor (48%). At the same time, most Canadians (67%) continue to believe ocean health has deteriorated over the past decade. The biggest threats are considered to be plastics and “pollution” (in general), although there is increasing awareness of the threat to oceans from climate change.

2. The public is contending with a low information environment when it comes to ocean issues. Relatively few Canadians have heard recent news about oceans - and what they do recall is about the negative impacts, not the parties responsible for those impacts nor potential solutions. As in 2019, there remains limited awareness of MPAs (40%) and of the federal government’s commitment to protect 30% of oceans by 2030 (10%). This lack of knowledge means the public is relying on existing or longstanding perceptions, and new information is not breaking through to change minds.

3. The idea of marine protected areas as a way to protect oceans continues to resonate strongly with Canadians. Canadians increasingly recognize the value of a zone or area of the ocean where industrial activities are banned (63% very important to protecting oceans, up five percentage points). Support for MPAs remains high (97% support, including 68% strongly, consistent with 2019). Canadians have strong and consistent ideas of what should be included in MPAs (research, non-motorized sports, eco-tourism and recreational fishing) and what is unacceptable (everything else). They also believe MPAs will do what they are designed for, which is protect marine life (i.e., increase fish populations, increase number and variety of marine species).
The public continues to believe that not enough is being done to protect oceans. A steady majority of six in ten think Canada is doing an only fair or poor job protecting our oceans. Even as the protected amount of oceans has increased from one percent in 2016, to eight percent in 2019, to just under 15 percent in 2022, the view that this is insufficient continues to be held by three in four Canadians. Moreover, Canadians are four times as likely to say it is critical for Canada to meet its 30 by 30 protection target (80%) than to say there is still plenty of time if we miss the target (20%).

Canadians are less certain about the potential economic and job impacts of MPAs, in part because their main frame of reference for ocean industries is Canadian fisheries. Canadians continue to push back against the environment versus economy trade-off: they strongly favour protecting our oceans to protect our economy, rather than prioritizing the economy and jobs by allowing industrial activities in all parts of our oceans. However, it is notable that, by a large margin, the main ocean-dependent industry that people think of is the fishing industry. Thus, when Canadians are less certain that MPAs will have positive impacts on regional economies or on jobs, they may be thinking in the frame of fisheries and the local communities that depend on them rather than about oil and gas, cruise lines or shipping companies. This also may help explain why there are mixed views about whether further restrictions are too costly for ocean industries to bear (53% agree vs 46% disagree).
Ocean Health | Perceptions of Canada’s ocean health

Perceptions of the health of Canada’s oceans remain split with about half of Canadians rating it as excellent or good and the other half feeling more pessimistic (i.e., rating ocean health as only fair or poor), essentially unchanged since 2019.

Q1. Canada has 7.1 million square kilometres of oceans, an area equivalent to 70% of its land mass. From what you know or have heard, how would you rate the health of Canada’s oceans, that is, the coastal waters of the Atlantic, Pacific and Arctic Oceans?

More likely to say ‘good/excellent’:
- Men (59%)
- Born outside of Canada (64%)
- Not extremely/very concerned about climate change (66%)
- Liberal (58%) or Conservative (63%) voters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Only fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Excellent | Good | Only fair | Poor
Ocean Health | **Change in ocean health in past 10 years**

Although there are mixed views about the current health of Canada’s oceans, two-thirds of Canadians nonetheless believe it has deteriorated over the past decade. This view is consistent with 2019.

*Q1b. Compared to ten years ago, do you think the health of Canada’s oceans has improved, become worse or stayed about the same?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Improved</th>
<th>About the same</th>
<th>Become worse</th>
<th>More likely to say ‘become worse’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>Quebec residents (74%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>Women (74%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>Born in Canada (70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>Concerned about climate change (74%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ocean Health | Recall recent news about oceans

Relatively few Canadians recall recent news about Canada’s oceans. In most cases, they are recalling the negative impacts (or outcomes) on the ocean, but not what or who is causing those impacts.

Q3. What news, if any, have you seen, heard or read recently about Canada’s oceans?

- NET: Recalled any news 26%
- Shorelines/ocean floors full of garbage, plastic, industrial waste 8%
- Temperatures changing/rising water levels/threatening coastal communities 4%
- Oceans/waters being polluted/coastal sewage water dumped in them 4%
- Fish stock declining 3%
- Rising water temperature affecting biodiversity/oxygen concentrations in water 2%
- Large marine mammals/whales washing up on shores 2%
- Overfishing in international waters 2%
- Other (<2% mentions) 12%
- Heard nothing / dk / na 74%
Canadians continue to rank plastic waste as the greatest threat to oceans, consistent with 2019. The perception that climate change is a major threat to ocean health has grown steadily since 2012, and remains ahead of the perceived threat from overfishing, offshore oil & gas, and oil tankers.

Q4. To what extent do you believe each of the following is a threat to the health of Canada’s oceans?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threat</th>
<th>2019 (% Major Threat)</th>
<th>2012 (% Major Threat)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plastic waste</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollution</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate change</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overfishing</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offshore oil and gas drilling</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tankers transporting crude oil</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shipping and transportation activity</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable energy projects like offshore wind and tidal energy</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OCEAN PROTECTION
Ocean Protection | Canada’s performance

A steady majority of about six in ten Canadians think Canada is doing an only fair or poor job protecting our oceans, outweighing the four in ten who are more positive. However, most responses are clustered in the middle, suggesting a degree of uncertainty.

Q5. Overall, how good a job do you think Canada is doing at protecting our oceans?

More likely to say ‘poor/only fair job’:
- Residents of Territories (71%)
- Women (63%)
- Born in Canada (63%)
- Very concerned about climate change (65%)
Canadians place increasing importance on most of the possible solutions to ocean impacts – including more than six in ten who say it is very important to create zones where industrial activities are banned. The exception is that the relatively lower emphasis on banning oil and gas production remains unchanged.

Q6. Among the range of possible things people can do to reduce our impact on oceans and ensure marine life and habitat can recover and thrive, how important do you consider each of the following?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>2019 Very Important</th>
<th>2019 Somewhat Important</th>
<th>2019 Not very Important</th>
<th>2019 Not at all important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protecting endangered marine species</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create zones or areas where industrial activities are banned</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stronger regulations for commercial fishing</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stronger regulations for shipping traffic</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banning oil and gas production in the ocean</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Very important: 74% 63% 56% 50% 40% 23% 31% 37% 39% 41% 14% 5%

Somewhat important: 23% 31% 37% 39% 41% 4% 7% 9% 14% 5%

Not very important: 2% 4% 7% 9% 14% 1% 2% 9% 5%

Not at all important: 1% 2% 1% 2% 5% 2% 1% 1% 5% 5%
Ocean Protection | **Values associated with the ocean**

When asked why it is important to them to protect oceans, the top reasons relate to preserving life and the environment for its own sake. Slightly fewer value oceans for the role they play in supporting human life and providing beauty, and people are least likely to say they value oceans for their economic or recreational benefits.

**Q2. There are different types of benefits or things people value about Canada’s oceans. How important to you personally are each of the following reasons for protecting the ocean?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Very important</th>
<th>Somewhat important</th>
<th>Not very important</th>
<th>Not at all important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Habitat for a great diversity of marine animal and plant life</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td></td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our responsibility to protect, not just for ourselves, but for all life on the planet</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td></td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of our human life support system, in terms of our physical and mental health</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural beauty and inspiration</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A source of economic wealth and jobs</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational opportunities like fishing, boating and swimming</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ocean Protection | Prioritize oceans or economy/jobs

The widespread belief that we should protect oceans to sustain the economy and jobs has solidified further (to 90%, including three in four who feel strongly), with only one in ten who would allow industrial activities in all parts of our oceans.

Q7. Which of these two statements is closer to your own opinion? We need to prioritize the economy and jobs over environmental protection, and allow industrial activities in every part of our oceans OR we need to protect parts of our oceans so they can continue to sustain the economy and jobs in coastal communities?

Q8. Do you feel strongly or not so strongly that [INSERT Q7 RESPONSE]?

![Bar chart showing changes from 2016 to 2022]

- 73% protect oceans - feel strongly (2016)
- 73% protect oceans - not strongly (2016)
- 77% protect oceans - feel strongly (2022)
- 12% protect oceans - not strongly (2016)
- 12% protect oceans - not strongly (2022)
- 13% protect oceans - not strongly (2022)
- 7% prioritize economy/jobs - feel strongly (2016)
- 10% prioritize economy/jobs - feel strongly (2019)
- 7% prioritize economy/jobs - feel strongly (2022)
- 7% prioritize economy/jobs - not strongly (2016)
- 4% prioritize economy/jobs - not strongly (2019)
- 3% prioritize economy/jobs - not strongly (2022)
Ocean Protection | **Industries that depend on oceans**

When asked about industries operating in Canada’s oceans (open-ended, without prompting), seven in ten instinctively think of the fishing industry – suggesting this is likely the typical frame the public uses when thinking about oceans and the economy. By comparison, relatively few mention oil and gas, tourism or shipping/transportation.

Q9. In Canada, when you think about the types of industries or businesses that depend on or operate in Canada’s oceans, what first comes to mind?

- Fisheries/fish industry (farms, factories, etc.) 76%
- Oil & Gas industry 23%
- Tourism (including cruise lines) 19%
- Shipping companies/liners 18%
- Transportation 17%
- Offshore oil drilling 6%
- Food industry 4%
- Commercial fishing 3%
- Recreation 3%
- Energy 2%
- Trade 4%
Public Opinion Survey 2022

Opinions are mixed about whether industries dependent on oceans can afford further restrictions on their activities in the current economy. Just over half agree industries cannot afford more limitations, while just under half disagree (i.e., industries can afford more limitations). However, only minorities have strong views either way, with views mostly in the middle, indicating a fair degree of uncertainty.

Q22. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

In the current economy, industries that depend on or operate in oceans can’t afford more limitations placed on what activities take place and where they operate.

Strong agreement higher among:
- Men (13%)
- Born outside of Canada (15%)
- Not concerned about climate change (19%)
- Consider Canada’s ocean health to be excellent (29%)
MARINE PROTECTED AREAS
Marine Protected Areas | **Awareness and familiarity**

Four in ten Canadians have heard of Marine Protected Areas, which is consistent with 2019. However, the group who are at least somewhat familiar with what MPAs are for and how they are established has declined since 2019.

Q10. Have you ever heard of Marine Protected Areas?
Q.11 How familiar are you with Marine Protected Areas, what they are for and how they are established?

Familiarity with MPAs higher among:
- Residents of Atlantic provinces (33%)
- Men (22%)
- Job dependent on oceans (42%)
Marine Protected Areas | Level of support

There continues to be overwhelming support for MPAs (once explained to respondents), with two-thirds of Canadians who strongly support them.

Q12. A marine protected area or MPA is an area of the ocean where human activities, such as commercial fishing, or oil and gas development, are more strictly regulated than in surrounding waters. MPAs ensure that marine ecosystems remain healthy, by conserving and protecting fish and other marine species and their habitats.

Do you support or oppose having certain areas of the ocean within Canadian waters as marine protected areas?

More likely to ‘strongly support’:
- Older (74% aged 60+) and younger (68% 18-29) Canadians
- Women (71%)
- Very concerned about climate change (80%)
- NDP (79%) or Green (89%) voters
Marine Protected Areas | Level of support – by region

There is limited variation in support for MPAs across Canada. Majorities of between six and seven in ten in every region strongly support MPAs.

Q12. A marine protected area or MPA is an area of the ocean where human activities, such as commercial fishing, or oil and gas development, are more strictly regulated than in surrounding waters. MPAs ensure that marine ecosystems remain healthy, by conserving and protecting fish and other marine species and their habitats.

Do you support or oppose having certain areas of the ocean within Canadian waters as marine protected areas?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Strongly support</th>
<th>Somewhat support</th>
<th>Somewhat/strongly oppose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canada (n=1680)</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS (n=138)</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC (n=280)</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK/MB (n=159)</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB/PEI (n=90)</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON (n=376)</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFLD (n=95)</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB (n=187)</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Territories (n=176)</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC (n=179)</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Marine Protected Areas | **Acceptable activities in MPAs**

Views about the types of activities considered acceptable or unacceptable within MPAs has remained largely consistent over time. Majorities say research, non-motorized sports, eco-tourism and recreational fishing are acceptable, and the other activities are unacceptable.

Q13. Please indicate if you believe each of the following activities should be allowed or should not be allowed in a marine protected area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Should be allowed</th>
<th>Should not be allowed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scientific research</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-motorized water sports like canoeing, kayaking and sailing</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmentally responsible tourism (whale watching, snorkelling, diving)</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fishing by individuals for recreation purposes</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial ship traffic</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial fishing</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruise ships</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorized water sports like powerboating and water skiing</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and gas exploration, drilling and extraction</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom trawling (fishing by dragging a net along the ocean floor)</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dumping of sewage, fertilizers and other waste</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Deep Sea Mining | **Should or should not be allowed**

The global push for critical minerals has raised concerns about the growth of deep-sea mining, although currently there is none in Canada. When asked, seven in ten Canadians assert that deep-sea mining should not be allowed in Canada.

Q14. Deep-sea mining is the process of extracting mineral deposits from the ocean floor in deep seas. Currently there is no deep-sea mining in Canada. Do you think Canada should or should not allow deep-sea mining?

More likely to say ‘should not be allowed’
- Quebec residents (80%)
- Women (77%)
- Lower household incomes (76% under $60K)
- Very concerned about climate change (77%)

![Pie chart showing 29% for 'should be allowed' and 71% for 'should not be allowed']
Marine Protected Areas | Perception of MPA impacts

Similar to 2019, Canadians can visualize the benefits of MPAs to marine species and conservation jobs. Differences of opinion remain about other impacts, such as to the regional economy and number of jobs. The only place where a plurality foresee a negative impact is on local fishing jobs (32% say these will decrease, consistent with 2019).

Q15. Do you think each of the following is likely to increase, stay the same or decrease when a new marine protected area (MPA) is created?
Q16. And do you think the overall economy in the region is likely to get better, get worse or stay the same when a new marine protected area is created?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perception of MPA impacts</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish populations within the MPA</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number and variety of marine species within the MPA</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local jobs related to conservation (scientists, marine conservation)</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number and variety of marine species found just outside the MPA</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall economy in the region</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local jobs in tourism</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The total number of jobs in the region</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local fishing jobs</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There continues to be limited knowledge of how much of Canada’s oceans are protected as MPAs. As before, the largest group Canadians cannot venture a guess; only one in ten put the number within the correct range (11-20%).
Even as the amount of protected areas has grown from about eight percent of oceans in 2019 to fifteen percent currently, the view that this is insufficient continues to be held by three in four Canadians.

Q18. Currently, just under fifteen percent [ASKED IN 2019: just under eight percent / ASKED IN 2016: around one percent] of Canada’s oceans are subject to special protection by law that limits the types of activities allowed. Do you think this amount of protected area is too much, about right, or not enough?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Not enough</th>
<th>About right</th>
<th>Too much</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

More likely to say ‘not enough’:
- Women (82%)
- College degree or less (77%)
- Earning a household income of <$40k (81%)
- Born in Canada (78%)
- Very concerned about climate change (83%)
- Not very familiar with MPAs (84%)
Marine Protected Areas | **Importance of number vs standards**

Most Canadians say it is important to consider number & size of MPAs and the strength of standards within MPAs but place greater emphasis on the latter over the former.

Q21. *In your view, how important are each of the following for Canada to consider when creating new marine protected areas?*

- **The strength of the standards of marine protection within existing and new marine protected areas**
  - More likely to say ‘very important’:
    - Ontario residents (70%)
    - 60+ years old (76%)
    - Women (67%)
    - Lower household incomes (68% under $60K)
    - Very concerned about climate change (76%)
  - 64% Very important
  - 32% Somewhat important
  - 3% Not very important

- **The number and size of marine protected areas, even if the standards of marine protection within them are not that strong**
  - 45% Very important
  - 44% Somewhat important
  - Not very important
  - Not at all important
30% OCEAN PROTECTION BY 2030
As before, there continues to be very limited awareness of the federal government’s stated commitment to protect oceans.

Q19. The federal government has made an international commitment to increase the amount of Canada’s protected marine and coastal areas to 30 percent by 2030 [ASKED IN 2019: 10 percent by 2020]. Before today, were you aware of this commitment?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
30 by 30 | Urgency to meet 30 by 30 target

Regardless of whether or not they are aware of the commitment to protect 30 percent of oceans by 2030, eight in ten say it is critical Canada meets this target, compared to two in ten who say there is still plenty of time to protect oceans.

Q20. Which of the following statements is closest to your opinion?

- Protecting the ocean is important, but we will still have plenty of time if Canada misses its target of 30% protection by 2030. 

- Protecting the ocean is important, and it is critical that Canada meets its target of 30% protection by 2030.

More likely to say ‘critical to meet target’:
- Quebec (86%) and Ontario (83%)
- Women (86%)
- Lower household incomes (82% under $60k)
- Very concerned about climate change (90%)
- Rate Canada’s ocean health only fair/poor (88%)
- Strongly support MPAs (89%)
There is widespread agreement (including half who strongly agree) that Canada should meet its target of 30% by 2030 because it made an international commitment to do so. This suggests that support is not just about the target itself, but about the importance of following through on an existing commitment.

Q22. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Canada needs to meet its target of 30% ocean protection by 2030 because it made an international commitment to do so

- Strongly agree: 52%
- Somewhat agree: 35%
- Somewhat disagree: 9%
- Strongly disagree: 3%
RESPONDENT CHARACTERISTICS
WEIGHTED SAMPLE CHARACTERISTICS

**Gender**
- Female, 50%
- Male, 49%

* 1% identified as non-binary
* <1% identified as “other”

**Age**
- 18-29: 18%
- 30-44: 25%
- 45-59: 25%
- 60+: 32%

**Household Income**
- $40k or less: 28%
- $40k-$60k: 18%
- $60k-$80k: 18%
- $80k-$100k: 15%
- $100k+: 20%

**Language of Survey**
- English, 76%
- French, 24%

**Region**
- BC: 13%
- Alberta: 11%
- MB/SK: 7%
- ON: 38%
- QC: 23%
- Atl: 7%
- Territories: <1%
WEIGHTED SAMPLE CHARACTERISTICS

**Employment**
- Working full-time: 45%
- Working part-time: 9%
- Self-employed: 6%
- Student: 3%
- Retired: 29%
- Other: 8%

**Level of Education**
- HS or less: 17%
- College: 31%
- University: 36%
- Post-grad: 16%

**Place of Birth**
- Canada: 78%
- Another country: 22%

**Job dependent on Ocean**
- No: 96%
- Yes: 4%

**Federal Vote Intention**
- Liberal: 33%
- Conservative: 30%
- NDP: 21%
- Bloc Quebecois: 8%
- Green: 7%
- Other: 2%

* Asked about vote intention “if a Canadian federal election was held today”
CONTACT

Sarah Roberton
Vice President
Corporate & Public Affairs, Environics Research
Sarah.roberton@environics.ca

Maysa Husseini
Research Associate
Corporate & Public Affairs, Environics Research
Maysa.husseini@environics.ca
Thank you.